

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	2:18-cv-10712-DOC (SK)	Date	April 23, 2019
Title	Joseph Chatman v. San Dimas Police Department, et al.		

Present: The Honorable	Steve Kim, U.S. Magistrate Judge		
Cheryl Wynn		n/a	
Deputy Clerk		Court Smart / Recorder	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:		Attorneys Present for Defendant:	
None present		None present	

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL
FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff has failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on the following Defendants: (1) the City of San Dimas and (2) the City of Glendale.

Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before **May 23, 2019**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action as to the City of San Dimas and the City of Glendale.

Plaintiff can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90-day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.